

Regarding sending of information under Section 154 of the Code of Criminal Procedure and a copy thereof

First Information of a Cognizable Offence

Police Station - Umra

District - Surat

Date and time of occurrence of offence - Between 21/10/02 and 27/2/02

1. Date and time of information received - Date 27/2/02, 17:00 hours

Under Section 124

2. Place of offence, distance and direction from the Police Station - Dakshin Gujarat Mahila Nagarik Sahakari Bank Limited, Sumangal Apartment, Opposite Central S.B.I.

Date of dispatch from the Police Station - Date 27/2/02

4. Name and address of the informant and complainant - Sunilbhai Khimjibhai Lal Parmar, Umangela Co. Op. Soc. Central

5. Name and address of the accused - (1) Hemchandra S. Singh, Residing at: International Park Residency, in the apartment opposite Gameshwar Residency

Brief description of the offence with section, and brief description of property lost, if any - (2) Sanjay Hariram Agrawal of Bhatar Road, Residing at: Shalimar Co. Soc. Uma Bhavan Apartment Complex No. 10, Juhu, Mumbai

Steps taken regarding investigation, explanation for delay in recording the information - (3) Komal Kapillal Seth of Mumbai, Bhiwandi Road-174, Lalantar Co.

Op. Shriramnagar, Gulmohar Cross Road No. 9, Thane

Details of the offence -

Attached herewith.

(4) PSC

Note: The first information should be written below, and the signature or mark of the informant should be taken below it as a token of its correctness, or the officer recording it should sign it, and the left thumb impression should be taken.

Panch. (5) Dilip Shankarlal Trivedi, owner of Home Food, Residing at: Dalal Building, Bhimrad Road, Flat No. 32, Besides Bombay Market, Morarji

Panch. (6) Bhupesinghbhai Bansidhar, Signatory, Residing at: 401, The Greenville, Link Road, Sector-4, Vashi, Mumbai

Panch. (7) Milan Chaturbhuj Tali: Sangi Aparts 101, Gam Surya Apartment

I state that the above writing

(8) Shrikant Shriramial Sharma: Maneklal Pawar Chawl, Bhiwandi

Shriram Soc. Salim Mubarak

Brief Details: Regarding offence under Sections 465, 467, 468, 471, 420 of the Indian Penal Code.

The facts are that the accused, in connivance with each other, hatched a conspiracy and with the malafide intention of obtaining money from the complainant's bank, created forged documents in the name of the complainant's bank and thereby committed cheating to the tune of Rs. 8,59,45,552/- with the complainant's bank.

Date 27/2/2002

Today, Sunilbhai Khimjibhai Lal Parmar, personally present at the Police Station - Occupation: Service, Residing at: 302, Central Apartment, Behind Sargam Complex, Near Umra Juna Naga, Surat (Guj) 395001 (Mob) [9427181511](tel:9427181511), 24408512

submitted a written application and dictated the facts of the complaint that I am employed at the Oriental Co-operative Bank, Registered Office Nagarik Sahakari Bank Limited, wherein the directors of the registered office at Lalpura, after

obtaining prior permission, I work on creating accounting software on a daily basis.

The administration of our aforementioned bank runs according to the rules of Shri Z Bank and the member bank operates, copies of the details of which are attached. As part of the details I am narrating, the directors' report on the bank's administration and accounts will be taken into consideration. Whatever decisions are taken by our bank are taken in accordance with the rules and keeping in mind the other co-members. The directors manage the bank with the objective of facilitating members to easily obtain loans for trade and business through shares, thereby increasing the bank's profit, by utilizing the bank's funds.

To become a member of the said bank, the member makes a representation in person and appropriate action is taken subject to the rules of the bank.

C. P. C. 14

No 030

First Information of a Cognizable Offence under Section 154 of the Code of Criminal Procedure

Police Station – District –

Date and time of occurrence of offence –

1. Date and time of information received –

2. Place of offence, distance and direction from the Police Station –

Date of dispatch from the Police Station –

4. Name and address of the informant and complainant –

5. Name and address of the accused –

6. Brief description of the offence with section, and description of property lost, if any –

Umra P.S. I Guj. Reg. No. 274/02 Date 27/02

7. Steps taken regarding investigation, explanation for delay in recording the

information –

8. Disposal of the case –

(Signature) P.S.

Note: The first information should be written below, read over to the informant to ensure it is legible and correct, and the signature or mark or thumb impression of the informant should be taken below it, and the officer recording the information should attest to it.

The reason is that the firm Glorious Syndicate is a partnership. That firm also acts as a manager (broker). That firm, Glorious Global Finance, has lured investors by promising to facilitate the buying and selling of shares through a share broker and earn them profits. And in this manner, by giving inducement, through Prof. Griva Rameshchandra Bhatt, caused investment of money from a national institution with a Madhupuri certificate, which they say is at the Raas meeting place.

25 percent of the money received from the invested funds

was distributed properly until 2002. And thereafter, Sunil Naval

Kishore alias M. J. Pandey, making an excuse of a downturn in the stock market, has duly deposited Ms. Griva's amount into another's sub-account.

Thereafter, upon demanding the amount, Roshan Shekhar and Niraj Rajni

were giving threats regarding the recovery of this money. In this matter

were giving threats regarding the recovery of this money. In this matter

pressure was also applied implicitly in this matter. Daily, they would

threaten to extort money by force. Despite this, Sunil

Pandey, while taking the responsibility of a broker, Sunil

Therefore, in exchange for the invested amount,

Roshan Shekhar and Niraj Rajni did not pay the money for the given cheque either.

As a result, it appears that Griva Bhatt's invested money is lost.

Now that this company has closed down,

this complaint has been filed. And this company, after taking money from investors, has closed the company and absconded with the money.

And a request has been made to investigate and take appropriate action in this matter.

And an application has been made to investigate and take appropriate action in this matter.

As per which, after receiving the amount, Sunil Sanjay of Syndicate company have disappeared. This money having absconded after committing a major scam, has come with this application. has come with this application.

(Name not legible)

C. P. C. Hg.

No 031

First Information of a Cognizable Offence given at the Police Station under Section 154 of the Code of Criminal Procedure

Sub-District—

District –

Date and hour of occurrence of offence—

1. Date and hour of information—

2. Place of offence, distance and direction from the Police Station—

Date of dispatch from Police Station—

3. Name and address of the informant and complainant-

4. Name and address of the accused-

5. Brief description of the offence (with section) and brief description of property carried away, if any—

6. Steps taken regarding investigation, explanation for delay in recording the

information–

7. Result of the case–

(Signature) Umra P.S. I 274/02 Dt. 11/12/02

(Signature) PEO

–The first information should be recorded below, and to attest its correctness, the signature or mark or thumb impression of the informant should be taken below it, and it should be attested by the officer recording the information.

In January 2002, Ketan Seth and Milan Surti, with the intention of establishing a bank in Surat and also providing loan schemes in Surat City, were collecting daily interest. Surti and Sindhu Seth invested the amount on reasonable assurance. Among them, Sanjay alias Ram Rajputlal and Bhagatchand Zaverchand Ravi Shankar Sharma operate a property account. Out of which, after obtaining a reasonable return on the financial amount, illegal interest was received. Which is within the jurisdiction of Umra P.S. at Nayab Residency.

The accused Ketan Seth and Milan Surti, having conspired together, started a money-lending business in the name of a bank in Surat city. The aforesaid financial institution was running an illegal financial business, wherein since 2001, Milan Surti and Ketan Seth were jointly collecting interest in the aforesaid bank. In relation to which, Umra Police Station

on 13/12/02, we received information that in the aforesaid bank, on 10.12.02, Government of India securities worth Rs. 2,39,29,105/- were purchased. The said securities of Rs. 2,39,29,105/- were given as the bank's security no. 275313. Thereafter, on 26th March, securities with a 10.30% interest rate maturing in the year 2020, worth Rs. 2,81,22,911/60 paise, were purchased, which are considered H.E.F.C. Bank's shares, and given as no. 275312. Thereafter, IDBI securities with a 44.5% rate, maturing in the year 2011, worth Rs. 3,37,11,111/97 paise, were purchased. On the date of HDFC Bank share number 27532, this financial institution, on 27/12/02, purchased the aforesaid Government of India securities maturing in the year 2011 with a 9.30% rate for Rs. 1,13,13,458/33 paise, regarding which HDFC share number 27533 was purchased. Ratnikar [31110101 11](#) on Dt. 27/12/02 was purchased by the aforesaid bank, the value of which was calculated at Rs. 9,48,70,667/77 paise. Regarding which, two directors were appointed from among the 2+2 members of the bank, and in relation to which, information was received on 26th March regarding 42.7% of the shares. Upon investigating the

matter, as per the instructions of the Hon'ble Police Commissioner Shri B.B. Bhatt,
on 26th March 2012, Milan

City

No 032

C. R. C. 14

First Information of a Statement or Complaint given at the Police Station as prescribed under Section 154 of the Code of Criminal Procedure

District –

1. Date and time of occurrence of offence
2. Date and time of information–
3. Place of offence, distance and direction from the Police Station–
4. Date of dispatch from Police Station–
5. Name and address of the informant and complainant–
6. Name and address of the accused–
7. Brief description of the offence (with section) and brief description of property carried away, if any –
8. Steps taken regarding investigation, explanation for delay in recording the information–
9. Result of the case–

(Signature):

(Mark)

Note: The exact statement should be recorded, read over, and the signature or mark or thumb impression of the informant should be taken below it, and it should be attested by the officer recording the information.

Complainant's Name: V. P. Joshi, correspondence address Dena Bank Ltd.

Against: 1. Bhupen B. Nagjini, was a resident of... (now deceased). And others, Dhobi Talao.

The complaint is against one named Kirit and against Amit Nitin. Complainant in the investigation conducted by the Director, Enforcement Division, Mumbai, a case has been filed against the accused before the Mumbai and Vadodara (Mumbai) authorities

and proceedings have been initiated, and a judicial decision has been sought. Which is currently pending in the Mumbai court. Furthermore,

the accused (deceased) Bhupen B. Nagjini, resident of... as well as the accused Kirit B. Nagjini, during the period from 31.03.1998 to 11.04.2002,

misappropriated the bank's funds of Rs. 91,36,50,621/- and Rs. 23,50,000/- of banking financial and other bank funds

by conspiring with each other, committed cheating and criminal breach of trust with the bank and illegally obtained financial benefits from 30/03/02

to date, and have violated the Banking Regulation Act. As per the bank's

list issued on 11/04/02, it has occurred as stated. From all these facts,

a prima facie case appears to be made out, therefore, as per Section 154 of the Cr.P.C.,

this has been registered. Further in-depth investigation is underway.

Sr. No.

Cheque No.

Date-

Amount.

1. 964317

11/4/02. Rs. 90,12,206/21 paise.

2. 964302.

21/4/02. Rs. 6,33,250/-

3. 964317.

16/4/02. Rs. 53,91,241/63 paise.

4. 964309.

29/4/02. Rs. 5,14,92,565/99.

5. 964308.

23/4/02. Rs. 1,09,45,663/33.

6. 964310.

7/5/02. Rs. 1,06,11,066/67.

7. 964311.

13/5/02. Rs. 1,11,49,828/33

8. 964313.

15/5/02. Rs. 1,21,12,121/-

9. 964324

11/1/04 Rs. 1,24,04,961/-

10. 964324.

11/1/02. Rs. 1,26,02,761/-

All the above-mentioned cheques were from the following HDFC Bank account number, account holder of [001-2001-1012](#), for a total amount of Rs. 9,40,31,859 49 paise. Of which, on 07.07.01, a cheque no. 7964311 for Rs. 90,12,206/21 paise was passed. Furthermore, the remaining amount was not deposited in the account, and the accused, the late Bhupen B. Nagjini, is deceased.

The remaining actions were carried out by the other accused. Due to all these

incidents,

the bank has suffered a financial loss. Upon this coming to the knowledge of the bank officials,

an investigation has been initiated into the matter. In which the accused have violated banking

laws. The late Dena Bank to IDBI on 11/04/02

caused a loss of approximately Rs. 500,00,00,000/- by settling the account.

In which the bank was able to recover compensation for the loss incurred.

P.T.O

C. P. C. 14 2.

No 033

Miscellaneous Matter. In 14 2, a total of 6 have given information to the Police Station

First Information of a Cognizable Offence

District-

District -

1. Date and time of occurrence of offence-

Date and time of information-

2. Place of offence and its distance and direction from the Police Station-

3. Date of dispatch from Police Station-

4. Name and address of the informant and complainant-

5. Name and address of the accused

6. Brief description of the offence (with section) and brief description of property, if any, stolen-

7. Steps taken regarding investigation, explanation for delay in recording the

information-

8. Result of the case-

9. Signature

(Note) PSO

Note: The first information should be written below, and to attest to its truthfulness, the signature or mark of the informant or his/her thumb impression should be taken below it, and the officer recording the information shall attest the same.

Statement of Natha. Ek Vishnu- Chhailal Bhanjan Sindhu. 9 34/1. That in 2011, if there was no time limit. On 1st Jan, when it was upon us, then 1947 was written. If the law had a clear time limit, then you did wrong regarding us. 1945, that this Sindhu was given to these people. In which Bapu was freed.

Natha

It is requested that regarding the case of Sindhu... [unclear text]... was done wrongly. Sindhu. Allahabadi... by taking money from us first, gained our trust and by cheating, committed fraud with us for Rs. 8 Crore, 19 Lakh, 65 Thousand. (Inquiry) (Date 9.6.11/12). If there is any time limit, then against our Tehsil, as per law... witness. In your copy, a decision for inquiry and investigation has been taken.

The facts of the complaint are as stated.

Regarding the certified copy issued by order.

By Order.

9 C.P. Samaj

P.S.C.

Umra P.S.

Surat City

Forwarded to,

The D.F. New. Magistrate Saheb

Chief Addl. Surat City

Date: 2/7/02

P.S.O.

Copied by

Compared by

K. J. Patel

28/8/2

Submitted,

The Chief Judicial Magistrate Saheb,

Surat.

Disclaimer:

This file was generated using manuworks.ai AI-powered translation. While we aim for high accuracy, the output may contain errors. Please review before using it for official or legal purposes.

manuworks.ai