

Ref. Cri. Case No. 3030147/2002
State Vs. Sunil & Others
Exh. No. 3769

Examination-in-Chief of Prosecution Witness No. 03 on oath
(For Accused No. 3)

My name – Sandhya Arun Dani,
Age – 69 years, Occupation – Retired.
Resident of – Dharampeth, Behind Bhole Petrol Pump, Nagpur.

Examination-in-Chief – On behalf of the Prosecution through Special Assistant Public Prosecutor
Smt. V. C. Chawaria –

1. Till November 2014, I was working as an Officer at the Head Office of Nagpur District Central Cooperative Bank, Nagpur. My senior was Accountant Vakhre. While I was working at the Head Office, the then Chairman Sunil Kedar had directed me to carry out additional work relating to shares. The then Chairman Sunil Kedar used to call me daily over the phone and obtain information regarding shares and give orders about purchase and sale. The cheques regarding purchase of the said shares used to be signed by the then General Manager Chaudhary and Chairman Sunil Kedar. My original work was to look after duties as Branch Officer at the Head Office. The above-mentioned work relating to shares was assigned to me as additional work.

2. After obtaining information regarding the above-mentioned shares, I used to prepare note sheets. First Accountant Vakhre, thereafter Bank Manager Gode, thereafter General Manager Chaudhary and thereafter Chairman Sunil Kedar used to approve the said note sheets. I used to prepare the said note sheets as per the instructions of Chairman Sunil Kedar. Now the witness is shown Note Sheet at Mark No. 1204. The said note sheet is written by me. It bears the signature of Manager Gode. The said note sheet is marked as 1204/A-3.

Now the witness is shown Note Sheets at Mark Nos. 1208 to 1226. The said note sheets are in my handwriting. They bear my signatures, and the signatures of Manager Gode, General Manager Chaudhary and Chairman Sunil Kedar. The said note sheets are marked as 1208/A-3 to 1226/A-3. These note sheets were prepared by me as per the instructions of Chairman Sunil Kedar.

Examination-in-Chief completed.

Note – Shri Pankaj Tamboli, the Ld. Advocate for accused No. 3 is present before the Court. Accused No. 3 is absent. The application Exh. 3768 seeking adjournment has been rejected. The Circular No. Insp-1/178/2025 dated 08.10.2025 issued by the Hon'ble High Court of Bombay has also been referred in the said order. Hence, the cross-examination is closed in view of the proviso (c) of Section 309(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

No cross-examination.

No re-examination.

Read over / accepted as correct.

(M. V. Phade)
Date – 06.01.2026
2nd Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Nagpur

2026-01-06T17:53:26+0530
MAHESH VIJAYKUMAR PHADE