

R.C.C. No. – 3030147/2002
State Vs. Sunil & Others
R.C.C. No. 3756

Examination-in-chief of Prosecution Witness No. 01 on oath (For Accused No. 3)

My name – Ramesh Vyankatrao Nimje,
Age – 64 years, Occupation – Nil.
Resident of – Tandapeth, Nagpur.

Examination-in-chief – On behalf of the Prosecution by Special Public Prosecutor
Mrs. V. C. Chavaria –

1. In the year 1999, I was a Director of the Nagpur District Central Cooperative Bank.
In the year 1999, I was also a member of the Loan Committee of the said Bank.
The meeting of the said Loan Committee used to be held once in every three months.
In the year 1999 also, one meeting of the said Committee was held.
I was present in the said meeting (assembly).
Every meeting had a fixed agenda.

During my tenure as Director of the above-mentioned Bank, I attended all meetings that were held.
These meetings were conducted to discuss matters relating to the business of the Bank.
My signatures were taken in the concerned register to mark my presence at the meetings.
During my tenure as Director of the said Bank, no circulating resolution was passed.
My education is up to 12th standard (failed).
I do not know how to read or write English.

2. I do not have knowledge regarding the Government securities purchased and sold by the Nagpur District Central C
This matter was never placed before the Board of Directors in any meeting.
In connection with the incident, my statement was recorded by Ganeshpeth Police.

Examination-in-chief concluded.

Note – Shri Pankaj Tamboli, Learned Advocate for Accused No. 3 is present before the Court.
Accused No. 3 is absent. By filing pursoris Exh. 3755 at 02:45 p.m., it is informed that
the accused is going to engage a Senior Counsel/Advocate for conducting the present case,
thus, the Learned Advocate is unable to conduct cross-examination today for lack of
instructions from the accused.

A detailed order has been passed on adjournment application Exh. 3570.

The said application has been rejected.

The two witnesses who were present before the Court in the first session have left the Court.
While rejecting application Exh. 3750, it is observed that the said application was made
in order to delay the trial.

The Circular No. Insp-I/178/2025 dated 08.10.2025 issued by the Hon'ble High Court of Bombay
has also been referred to in the said order.

It is evident that, by filing pursoris Exh. 3755, Accused No. 3 is again trying to delay the proceeding.
Hence, the cross-examination is closed in view of the proviso (c) of Section 309(2)
of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

No cross-examination. / No re-examination.

Read over and accepted.

Sd/-
(M. V. Phade)

Date – 12.12.2025
2nd Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Nagpur